-	~			JICO2 Rec'd PCI/PTO	U 6 APR 2000
	M PTO- 11-200		F COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 677-22	
		DESIGNATED/ELEC	R TO THE UNITED STATES CTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) ING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371	U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 O Unknown	06907
INTE		TONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/FR99/02470	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE 13 October 1999	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED 13 October 19	98
TITI	E OF	INVENTION METHOD FOR EXCHANG	SING DATA BETWEEN AN AUTOMATIC DIS	SPENSER AND A MICROCIRCUIT	CARD
APF	PLICA	NT(S) FOR DO/EO/US	GRIEU et al.		-
App	licant	herewith submits to the Unite	d States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/	JS) the following items and other in	nformation:
1.	\boxtimes	This is a FIRST submission	of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C.	371.	
2.		This is a SECOND or SUBS	EQUENT submission of items concerning a f	iling under 35 U.S.C. 371.	
3.	\boxtimes	This is an express request to items (5), (6), (9) and (21) it	o begin national examination procedures (35 ndicated below.	U.S.C. 371(f). The submission mu	st include
4.	\boxtimes	The U.S. has been elected it	by the expiration of 19 months from the priorit	y date (Article 31).	
5.	A co	py of the International Applica	ation as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).		
	a.	is attached hereto (req	uired only if not communicated by the Interna	tional Bureau).	
	b.	M has been communicate	ed by the International Bureau.		
	Ç.	is not required, as the	application was filed in the United States Rec	eiving Office (RO/US).	
6.	X	An English language transla	tion of the International Application as filed (3	5 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)) and a Declarat	tion of Translation.
	a.	is attached hereto.			
	Ъ.	has been previously su	ubmitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).		
7.		Amendments to the claims	of the International Application under PCT Art	icle 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))	
	a.	are attached hereto (re	equired only if not communicated by the Interr	national Bureau).	
	ъ. С.	☐ have been communica	ted by the International Bureau.		
	C.	have not been made; h	nowever, the time limit for making such amen	dments has NOT expired.	
	d.	have not been made a	nd will not be made.		
3.	Ь	An English language transla	tion of the amendments to the claims under F	PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
9.	Ø	An oath or declaration of the	inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).		
10.		A English language translati Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 3	on of the annexes of the International Prelimi 71(c)(5)).	nary Examination Report under PC	ïΤ
		is 11 To 20 below concern	document(s) or information included:		
11.			tatement under 37 C.F.R. 1.97 and 1.98.		
12.	Ø	•	r recording. A separate cover sheet in compl	iance with 37 C.F.R. 3.28 and 3.31	is included.
13.	⊠	A FIRST preliminary amend			
14.		A SECOND or SUBSEQUE	NT preliminary amendment.		
15.		A substitute specification.			
16.		A change of power of attorn	•		
17.			If the sequence listing in accordance with PC		-1.825.
18.			dished international application under 35		
19.			h language translation of the international ap	plication under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4)	.
20.	\boxtimes	Other items or information.	PTO-1449/ International Search Report		

JČ02 Rec'd PCT/PTO 0 6 APR 2001 1

INTERNATIONAL PER CATIONNO PTO UNBER NUMBER ST7-22	21.	s submitted: 7 C.F.R. 1.492(a)(1 flininary examinatic fee (37 C.F.R. 1.44 h Report not price y examination fee (3 al Search Report pre y examination fee (5 fee (37 C.F.R. 1.44 y examination fee (5 fee (37 C.F.R. 1.44 y examination fee (5 provisions of PCT A shing the oath or de ged priority date (37) UMBER FILED 16 20 = 20 =)-(5): on fee (37 C.F.R. 1.482) 45(a)(2)) paid to USPTO ed by the EPO or JPO 37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid t epared by the EPO or JPC 37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid t 15(a)(2)) paid to USPTO 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to U: CT Article 33(1)-(4) 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to U: CT Article 33(1)-(4) ENTER APPROPRIATE colaration later than 20 C.F.R. 1.492(e)).		60.00 10.00 90.00		ALCULATIONS	PTO USE O	NLY .
No. No. Patrice No.	BASIC NATIONAL FEE (- Neither international proof international search and international search and international search and international search international preliminar USPTO but International preliminar but international preliminar but all claims did not say international preliminar and all claims satisfied Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnimonths from the earliest claim CLAIMS NOTAIL Claims Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	17 C.F.R. 1.492(a)! Inlininary examination fee (37 C.F.R. 1.44 he Report not prepar by examination fee (3 examination fee (3 examination fee) (3 fee (37 C.F.R. 1.44 examination fee) (3 fee (37 C.F.R. 1.44 examination fee) (3 fee) (3 fee) (3 fee) (4 fee) (4 fee) (4 fee) (5 fee) (5 fee) (5 fee) (5 fee) (6 fee) (6 fee) (6 fee) (7 C.F.R. 1.44 fee) (6 fee) (7 C.F.R. 1.44 fee) (7 fee) (8 fee)	ón fee (37 C.F.R. 1.482) 45(a)(2)) paid to USPTO ed by the EPO or JPO 47 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid t epared by the EPO or JPC 37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid t f5(a)(2)) paid to USPTO 47 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to U CT Article 33(1)-(4) ENTER APPROPRIATE colaration later than □ 20 C.F.R. 1.492(b)).	0	60.00 10.00 90.00				
	Neither international pronor international search and international search and international search and international preliminar USPTO but International preliminar but international preliminar but all claims did not search international preliminar and all claims satisfied Surcharge of \$130,00 for furnimonths from the earliest claim CLAIMS Notal Claims Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS MULTIPLE	eliminary examination fee (37 C.F.R. 1.44 h Report not prepar y examination fee (3 al Search Report pro y examination fee (5 al Search Report pro y examination fee (5 are (37 C.F.R. 1.44 y examination fee (5 tist) provisions of PCT A provisions of PCT A shing the oath or deed priority date (37 UMMBER FILED 16 20 = 20 =	ón fee (37 C.F.R. 1.482) 45(a)(2)) paid to USPTO ed by the EPO or JPO 47 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid t epared by the EPO or JPC 37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid t f5(a)(2)) paid to USPTO 47 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to U CT Article 33(1)-(4) ENTER APPROPRIATE colaration later than □ 20 C.F.R. 1.492(b)).	0	60.00 10.00 90.00	\$	922.50		
nor international search fee (37 C.F.R. 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO	nor international search and international Search and International Search USPTO but International USPTO but International preliminar but international preliminar but all claims did not sa International preliminar and all claims satisfied Surcharge of \$130.00 for furni months from the earliest claim CLAIMS NTOtal Claims Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	in fee (37 C.F.R. 1.44 h Report not prepar y examination fee (3 al Search Report pro y examination fee) (3 in fee) (37 C.F.R. 1.44 y examination fee) (3 in fee) (37 C.F.R. 1.44 y examination fee) (3 provisions of PCT A bright provisions of PCT A shing the oath or de ed priority date (37 UMBERF RILED) (16	45(a)(2)) paid to USPTÓ ed by the EPO or JPO 77 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid to pared by the EPO or JPC 37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid to 15(a)(2)) paid to USPTO 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to U: CT Article 33(1)-(4) 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to U: tricle 33(1)-(4) ENTER APPROPRIATE colaration later than 20 cclaration later than 20 ccl. 23 (2).	0	60.00 10.00 90.00	\$	929.00		
and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO	and International Searc International preliminar USPTO but Internation International preliminar but international search International preliminar but all claims did not sa International preliminar and all claims satisfied Surcharge of \$130,00 for furni months from the earliest claim CLAIMS N Total Claims N Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	th Report not prepar y examination fee (3 a Search Report pri y examination fee (3 fee (37 C.F.R. 1.44 y examination fee (3 fete (37 C.F.R. 1.44 y examination fee (5 fete (37 C.F.R. 1.44 y examination fee (5 provisions of PCT A shing the oath or de ed priority date (37 I.UMBER FILED 16 20 = 20 =	red by the EPO or JPO 37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid to pared by the EPO or JPC 37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid to EPO or JPC 58 (5(a)(2)) paid to USPTO 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to Ut CT Article 33(1)-(4) 27 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to Ut tricle 33(1)-(4) ENTER APPROPRIATE (caleration later than 20 C.F.R. 1.492(e)).	0	60.00 10.00 90.00	85	900.00		
USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO	USPTO but Internation. International preliminar but international preliminar but all claims did not sa land and all claims and all claims satisfied Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnimonths from the earliest claim CLAIMS NOTall Claims Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	al Search Report progressive Search Report progressive Search Report provisions of Progressive Search Report Provisions of Progressive Search Report	paned by the EPO or JPC 37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid to 15(a)(2)) paid to USPTO 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to U: 0T Arlicle 33(1)-(4) 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to U: utilicle 33(1)-(4) 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to U: utilicle 33(1)-(4)	0	10.00 90.00 00.00	\$	920.00		
- International preliminary examination fee (37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 C.F.R. 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO	International preliminar but international search ut international search large and the search of the search o	y examination fee (3 fee (37 C.F.R. 1.44 y examination fee (3 tisfy provisions of P y examination fee (5 provisions of PCT A shing the cath or deed priority date (37 UMBER FILED 6 20 =	37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid to 15(a)(2)) paid to USPTO 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to USPTO 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to Usptole 33(1)-(4)	0 USPTO\$7 SPTO\$6 SPTO\$1 E BASIC FEE AI	10.00 90.00 00.00	\$	920.00		
but international search fee (37 C.F.R. 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO	but international search International preliminar but all claims did not se International preliminar and all claims satisfied Surcharge of \$130,00 for furni months from the earliest claim CLAIMS N Total Claims Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	if fee (37 C.F.R. 1.44 y examination fee (5 y examination fee (5 y examination fee (6 provisions of PCT A shing the oath or de ed priority date (37 UMBER FILED 6 -20 =	15(a)(2)) paid to USPTO 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to U: CT Article 33(1)-(4) 37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to U: tricle 33(1)-(4) ENTER APPROPRIATE claration later than ☐ 20 C.F.R. 1.492(e)).	\$7 SPTO\$6 SPTO\$1 E BASIC FEE AI	90.00	\$	900.00		
but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4)	but all claims did not as International preliminar and all claims satisfied Surcharge of \$130,00 f furni months from the earliest claim CLAIMS N Total Claims Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	itisfy provisions of P y examination fee (5 provisions of PCT A shing the oath or de ed priority date (37 UMBER FILED 6 -20 =	CT Article 33(1)-(4)	\$6 SPTO\$1 BASIC FEE AI	00.00	\$	950.00		
and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4)	and all claims satisfied Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnimonths from the earliest claim CLAIMS N Total Claims Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	provisions of PCT A shing the oath or de ed priority date (37) UMBER FILED 16 -20 =	ENTER APPROPRIATE claration later than 20 C.F.R. 1.492(e)).	\$1 BASIC FEE AI		s	900.00		
ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT = \$ 880.00 Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than	Surcharge of \$130,00 for furnimenths from the earliest claim CLAIMS N Total Claims Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	shing the oath or de ed priority date (37) UMBER FILED 16 -20 =	ENTER APPROPRIATE claration later than 20 C.F.R. 1.492(e)).	BASIC FEE AI		\$	000.00		
months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 C.F.R. 1.492(e)). \$ 0.00	months from the earliest claim CLAIMS N Total Claims Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	ed priority date (37 IUMBER FILED 16 -20 =	C.F.R. 1.492(e)).	□ 30			00.00		
CLAIMS NUMBER FILED NUMBER EXTRA RATE	CLAIMS N Total Claims Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	UMBER FILED 16 -20 =							
Total Claims	Total Claims 1 Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	16 -20 =		BATE		\$	0.00	L	
Independent Claims	Independent Claims MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA					\$	0.00		
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS(S) (if applicable) \$270.00 \$ 0.00 TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS = \$850.00 Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above Under reduced by 1/2. SUBTOTAL = \$850.00 Progressing fee of \$130.00, for furnishing the English Translation later than 20 3 4 0.00 TOTAL NATIONAL FEE = \$850.00 Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 C.F.R. 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 C.F.R. 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property \$40.00 Fee for Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application (\$1240.00 - Small Entity = \$620.00) \$50.00 TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED = \$900.00	MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLA	1 1 -3=				*			
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS = \$ 860.00 Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above O.00 BUBTOTAL = \$ 860.00 Processing fee of \$130.00, for furnishing the English Translation later than 20 30 TOTAL NATIONAL FEE = \$ 860.00 Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 C.F.R. 1.492(f)). TOTAL NATIONAL FEE = \$ 860.00 Fee for Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application (\$1240.00 - small Entity = \$820.00) \$ 0.00 TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED = \$ 900.00						\$			
## Quare reduced by 1/2. Subtotal = \$ 860.00	Applicant claims email or		TOTAL OF AB	OVE CALCULA					
SUBTOTAL = \$ 860.00 Progessing fee of \$130.00, for furnishing the English Translation later than 20 30 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 C.F.R. 1.492(ft)). TOTAL NATIONAL FEE = \$ 860.00 Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 C.F.R. 1.21(h)). The assignment must be action and appropriate cover sheet (37 C.F.R. 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property + \$ 40.00 Fee for Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application (\$1240.00 - Small Entity = \$820.00) \$ 0.00 TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED = \$ 900.00		itity status. See 37	CFR 1.27. The fees indicate	ated above					
Processing fee of \$130.00, for furnishing the English Translation later than \$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ \] 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 C.F.R. 1.492(f)). \$\\ \end{array}\$ TOTAL NATIONAL FEE = \$\\ 860.00\$ Feator recording the enclosed assignment (37 C.F.R. 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 C.F.R. 3.28, 3.31). \$\\ 40.00\$ per property \$\\ \end{array}\$ \$\\ \end{array}\$ \$\\ \end{array}\$ \$\\ \end{array}\$ \$\\ \end{array}\$ \$0.00 Feator Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application (\$1240.00 - Small Entity = \$620.00) \$\\ \end{array}\$ \$\\ \e									
months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 C.F.R. 1.492(f)). + 0.00 TOTAL NATIONAL FEE = \$860.00 Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 C.F.R. 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 C.F.R. 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property + \$40.00 Fee for Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application (\$1240.00 - Small Entity = \$620.00) \$0.00 TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED = \$900.00					TOTAL =	\$	860.00		
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE = \$ 860.00 Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 C.F.R. 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 C.F.R. 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property + \$ 40.00 Fee for Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application (\$1240.00 - Small Entity = \$620.00) \$ 0.00 TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED = \$ 900.00	Processing fee of \$130.00, for	furnishing the Engli	ish Translation later than [」20 ∐ 30			0.00		
Feit or recording the enclosed assignment (37 C.F.R. 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 C.F.R. 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property + \$40.00 Feit or Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application (\$1240.00 - Small Entity = \$620.00) \$0.00 TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED \$900.00	monthis from the earnest claim	ad priority date (37		OTAL NATION	AI EEE -	4			
acbdmpanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 C.F.R. 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property + \$ 40.00 Feë*for Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application (\$1240.00 - Small Entity = \$820.00) \$ 0.00 TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED = \$ 900.00 \$ 900.00	Fee for recording the enclosed	assignment /37 C			AL FEE =	9	860.00		
Feë*for Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application (\$1240.00 - Small Entity = \$620.00) \$ 0.00 TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED = \$ 900.00					+	s	40.00		
101AL 1 EES ENCLOSED = 3 900.00					\$620.00)				
Amount to ha:			TO ⁻	TAL FEES ENC	LOSED =	S	900.00		
						Α	mount to be:		
refunded S						L			
Charged \$							Charged	\$]	
a.	A check in the amou. A check in the amou. A duplicate copy of the commissioner in the Commis	eposit Account No. this form is enclosed s hereby authorized losit Account No. 14 f the foreign applica	14-1140 in the amount of d. it o charge any additional for the 1140. A duplicate copy of tion(s), referred to in this a	\$to cover iees which may if this form is en- application is/are	be required closed. hereby inc	l, or	credit any orated by refer		
NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 C.F.R. 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met a petition to revive (37 C.F.R. 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.	or (b)) must be filed and grain	e time limit under the	37 C.F.R. 1.494 or 1.495 i application to pending s	nas not been m status.	et/a petitio	on 1	to revive (37 C	.F.R. 1.13	'(a)
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:	SEND ALL CORRESPONDE	NCE TO:		Th.	H 1	/ m			
- EHGNATURE		. = .		SIGNATURE	7/	24			-
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. 1100 North Glebe Road, 8 th Floor					//				1
Arlington, Virginia 22201-4714				,	/				1
Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Stanley C. Spooner				Stanley C.	Spooner				1
NAME					-1				-
									1
27,393 April 6, 2001 REGISTRATION NUMBER Date	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				ON NUMBE	B)1	- 1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

GRIEU et al.

Atty. Ref.: 677-22

Serial No. Unknown

Group:

Filed: April 6, 2001

Examiner:

For: METHOD FOR EXCHANGING DATA BETWEEN AN AUTOMATIC DISPENSER AND A MICROCIRCUIT CARD

April 6, 2001

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

In order to place the above-identified application in better condition for examination, please amend the application as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS

Please substitute the following amended claims for corresponding claims previously presented. A copy of the amended claims showing current revisions is attached.

- 3/ (Amended) The method of claim 1, in which conditional debiting of the card is also subordinate to a time delay elapsing since the preceding operation of putting the flag into the non-ratified state.
- 4/ (Amended) The method of claim 1, in which conditional debiting of the card is also subordinate to the machine performing the current transaction belonging to a group to which the machine that performed the preceding transaction also belongs.

- 5/ (Amended) The method of claim 1, in which, when the flag is in the non-ratified state, delivery without debit is inhibited if the machine detects that delivery took place during the preceding use of the card.
- 6/ (Amended) The method of claim 1, in which card debiting and putting the flag into the nonratified state are performed in indivisible manner.
- 7/ (Amended) The method of claim 1, in which at least a portion of the information modifying the state of the card, in particular commands enabling the flag to be put into the ratified state, is previously processed by cryptographic means implemented both in the card and in the machine.
- 8/ (Amended) The method according to claim 1, in which at least a portion of the information relating to the state of the card, in particular the state of the flag and confirmation that the debit has taken into account, is previously processed by cryptographic means implemented both in the card and in the machine.
- 9/ (Amended) The method of claim 1, in which the goods or service is delivered in deferred manner after a given time delay.
- 12/ (Amended) The method of claim 1, in which the information interchanged between the machine and the card is enciphered in such a manner as to avoid revealing the moment at which the machine instructs the card to put the flag into the ratified state, or the moment at which the card performs that instruction.
- 13/ (Amended) The method of claim 1, including, in the machine, counting the number of occasions on which it reads a flag in the non-ratified state.
- 14/ (Amended) The method of claim 1, including the card counting the number of occasions on which it stores the flag in the non-ratified state between two transactions.
- 15/ (Amended) The method of claim 13, in which means are provided to indicate that a given threshold has been exceeded by the count in the card, in particular means for inhibiting subsequent delivery of goods or service.

GRIEU et al. Serial No. Unknown

16/ (Amended) The method of claim 1, in which the card memory includes information about the kind of goods or service to be delivered, which information is updated before any delivery of said goods or service.

REMARKS

The above amendments are made to place the claims in a more traditional format. Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the claims by the current amendment. The attached page is captioned "<u>Version With Markings To Show Changes Made</u>."

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By:

Stanley C. Spooner Reg. No. 27,393

SCS:ms 1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201-4714 Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

IN THE CLAIMS

- 3/ (Amended) The method of [either preceding claim] <u>claim 1</u>, in which conditional debiting of the card is also subordinate to a time delay elapsing since the preceding operation of putting the flag into the non-ratified state.
- 4/ (Amended) The method of [any preceding claim] claim 1, in which conditional debiting of the card is also subordinate to the machine performing the current transaction belonging to a group to which the machine that performed the preceding transaction also belongs.
- 5/ (Amended) The method of [any preceding claim] claim 1, in which, when the flag is in the non-ratified state, delivery without debit is inhibited if the machine detects that delivery took place during the preceding use of the card.
- 6/ (Amended) The method of [any preceding claim] <u>claim 1</u>, in which card debiting and putting the flag into the non-ratified state are performed in indivisible manner.
- 7/ (Amended) The method of [any preceding claim] claim 1, in which at least a portion of the information modifying the state of the card, in particular commands enabling the flag to be put into the ratified state, is previously processed by cryptographic means implemented both in the card and in the machine.
- 8/ (Amended) The method according to [any preceding claim] claim 1, in which at least a portion of the information relating to the state of the card, in particular the state of the flag and confirmation that the debit has taken into account, is previously processed by cryptographic means implemented both in the card and in the machine.
- 9/ (Amended) The method of [any preceding claim] claim 1, in which the goods or service is delivered in deferred manner after a given time delay.
- 12/ (Amended) The method of [any preceding claim] claim 1, in which the information interchanged between the machine and the card is enciphered in such a manner as to avoid

GRIEU et al. Serial No. Unknown

revealing the moment at which the machine instructs the card to put the flag into the ratified state, or the moment at which the card performs that instruction.

13/ (Amended) The method of [any preceding claim] claim 1, including, in the machine, counting the number of occasions on which it reads a flag in the non-ratified state.

14/ (Amended) The method of [any preceding claim] claim 1, including the card counting the number of occasions on which it stores the flag in the non-ratified state between two transactions.

15/ (Amended) The method of claim 13 [or 14], in which means are provided to indicate that a given threshold has been exceeded by the count in the card, in particular means for inhibiting subsequent delivery of goods or service.

16/ (Amended) The method of [any preceding claim] claim 1, in which the card memory includes information about the kind of goods or service to be delivered, which information is updated before any delivery of said goods or service.

10

15

20

25

3.0

35

JC02 Rec'd PCT/PTO 0 6 APR 2001

A METHOD OF INTERCHANGING DATA BETWEEN AN AUTOMATIC
MACHINE AND A PORTABLE OBJECT, IN PARTICULAR A
MICROCIRCUIT CARD, THE OBJECT BEING SUITABLE FOR DEBITING
BY THE MACHINE IN CONSIDERATION FOR PROVIDING GOODS OR
SERVICE

The present invention relates to automatic transaction systems that deliver goods or service by means of a machine exchanging information with a portable object which is debited by a given amount or value in consideration for the delivery of goods or service.

The machine can be an automatic dispenser, e.g. for dispensing confectionery or drinks, or it can be a device for providing a service, for example controlled access implemented by opening a turnstile so as to give a traveller access to a transport system. Below, the term "delivery of goods" is sometimes used for short, but it should be understood that the invention naturally covers a much wider range of applications, including the delivery of all sorts of services.

In the same manner, although the portable object considered by way of example is a microcircuit card, the invention can also be applied to other types of portable object, such as magnetic cards or travel tickets, e.g. in the form of a magnetic coupon or the like. Nevertheless, it is preferred to use a microcircuit card, given the very high degree of security and reliability that is made possible thereby.

Goods or service is delivered as the result of implementing a transaction during which the card is temporarily coupled to the machine to enable information to be exchanged between the card and the machine, with payment being performed at least in part by modifying information stored in the memory of the card, which information is representative of the value contained in the card.

Coupling can be achieved between the card and the machine in various known ways, with or without metallic

10

15

20

25

30

35

contact, and it is shown that the invention applies most advantageously to coupling of the so-called "contactless" type. With that type of coupling, there exists a nonnegligible risk of communication between the card and the machine being interrupted in unexpected manner, e.g. because the card has moved out of the range of the machine before processing had been completed, or because of some temporary disturbance, e.g. the passage of a mass of metal close by, or indeed because the user passes the card too quickly in front of the machine to enable information to be interchanged in satisfactory manner.

The event that interrupts a transaction can equally well be accidental or deliberate, for example the user might deliberately seek to obtain delivery while nevertheless preventing the corresponding amount from being debited from the card.

In the context of an automatic transaction system of the kind described above, one of the objects of the invention is to associate payment (i.e. debiting of the card) with delivery in such a manner as to preserve not only the interests of the purchaser (user) but also those of the vendor (the operator of the machine), even if an event should interrupt the transaction or prevent payment being achieved.

Until now, the problem has usually been dealt with in one of the following ways:

- no action is taken technically, so the event has to be dealt with by some human procedure;
- the card is temporarily prevented from being withdrawn by the user, and the machine debits the card if and only if the goods or service is indeed delivered (this applies for example to machines in which the card is hidden by a flap or is "swallowed" while the transaction is taking place); and
- the card remains accessible to the purchaser: this avoids the need to provide an expensive mechanism which slows down the transaction and is in any event

15

20

25

30

inapplicable to transactions that take place without contact. However special precautions then need to be taken.

The third situation, in which the card remains physically accessible to the user leads to one or other of the following situations:

- · debiting takes place after delivery: the purchaser can attempt to prevent debiting, e.g. by withdrawing the card immediately after delivery or by making debiting impossible in some other way (e.g. by insulating one of the contact areas of the card's microcircuit by means of a piece of adhesive); this can be acceptable if delivery is intrinsically spread out in time, for example a telephone call, in which case the advantage gained by such fraud is highly limited; however it is unsatisfactory if the machine delivers an article or opens a turnstile; and
- · debit takes place prior to delivery: under such circumstances, there is a risk of the purchaser being out-of-pocket because payment has taken place by information being interchanged over a communications channel that can be interrupted by the card being extracted or moving too far away; in other words it is possible that the card is debited but that the machine does not deliver goods or service since the debit is not confirmed.

The invention lies in the general context corresponding to the last-mentioned situation above, i.e. the situation in which the card is debited prior to delivery.

In the most general terms, a transaction takes place as follows:

- 10) the machine causes the card to be debited;
- 20) the card modifies its monetary value information 35 (or some equivalent value in terms of "tokens");

10

15

20

25

30

35

- 30) the card confirms to the machine that debiting has indeed taken place, i.e. that the monetary value in its memory has indeed been modified; and
 - 40) the machine delivers the goods or service.

As will readily be understood, if the interchange between the card and the machine happens to be interrupted during step 30, then the purchaser will be out-of-pocket.

To mitigate that drawback, various practices have been used in the past:

- · if the purchaser withdraws the card in the middle of a transaction, it is the purchaser who is considered as being at fault and it is the purchaser who is liable to be penalized; in the event of the purchaser making a complaint, more-or-less arbitrary procedures are provided for indemnifying the user or for establishing means for determining after the event whether the transaction recorded in the card was indeed followed by delivery by the machine;
- the purchaser is debited in small amounts only while delivery is taking place, so if the purchaser is indeed out-of-pocket, then the amount involved will be small and can be accepted: that solution is entirely suitable for delivering fluids or telephone calls, but it is impractical for delivering articles or for giving access to a transport network; and
- a system is provided such that if the current transaction is interrupted with prejudice to the purchaser, then in a subsequent "resumption" transaction, the goods or service can indeed be delivered, but without any further payment, i.e. without debiting the card again.

This third solution is a known practice as used for example in electronic purses complying with the draft European standard EN 1546.

In such known circumstances, if payment has taken place, and if the user who has not obtained delivery

DONOFOR, DANSOI

10

15

20

25

3.0

35

restarts the transaction on the same machine, and if the new transaction (resumed transaction) is carried through successfully, then goods or service will indeed be delivered for fair payment.

5 Known systems for implementing a resumption transaction nevertheless share the following drawback.

If communication between the machine and the card is interrupted during above step 30, and if the user does not re-establish the link between the card and the same machine, then the user will be out-of-pocket.

In particular, when a plurality of machines exist close together for delivering identical goods or service (for example a row of turnstiles giving access to a transport network), a client who has passed a contactless card rather too fast and who finds that the turnstile has not opened, will often try again at an adjacent turnstile, i.e. using a machine other than the machine on which the initial transaction was begun. The second machine will debit the client even if the first machine has already made the same debit, such that the purchaser will be debited twice for single delivery of the same goods or service (one opening of the turnstile).

It is possible to mitigate that drawback by interconnecting machines in the same zone by means of a network enabling information suitable for resuming a transaction to be interchanged, e.g. a card identity number, the number of the last machine to have ordered a debit, the corresponding transaction number for that machine, etc. thus making it possible for the transaction to be resumed on any of the machines in the network.

- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ the need for a network, with its associated hardware and software constraints; and
- the fact that each machine must interrogate the network on every occasion prior to instructing the debiting of a card (step 10 above), thereby slowing down

D9808907 .O40801

the transaction, or else each machine must store locally all of the information relating to transactions that have not terminated and that have taken place (at least recently) on the other machines in the network, and it must be capable of searching quickly to determine whether the card it is about to debit coincides with one such non-terminated transaction.

The invention proposes a solution to the above problem which avoids or minimizes the need to use a network, and which has characteristics that enable it to satisfy very severe constraints, such as those associated with a contactless card in transport situations, where each transaction:

- · must take place quickly (about 0.1 seconds);
- can easily be interrupted without the user being at fault (card handled too fast or not accurately enough);

can implement a plurality of payment points (a
plurality of turnstiles) between which the purchaser can
move quickly (e.g. 1 or 2 seconds to go from one
turnstile to the next); and

 must be capable of operating satisfactory in the event of a breakdown of any one of its elements, in particular the network interconnecting the machines, supposing that such a network is implemented.

To this end, the invention provides a method of interchanging data between the non-volatile memory of a portable object, in particular a microcircuit card, and an automatic machine with which the card is temporarily coupled to enable goods or service to be delivered, the card having value information that can be debited by the machine in consideration for delivering the goods or service, the method being characterized in that it comprises steps in which the machine causes a ratification flag to be modified, which flag is stored in the non-volatile memory of the card, said flag having two states, a ratified state corresponding to the case in

7 5 2 8 8

1.0

15

20

25

30

15

20

25

30

which the preceding transaction performed with the card, whether by the same machine or another machine, took place correctly, and a non-ratified state for the case in which said preceding transaction was interrupted while it was being executed, and in which the machine successively: conditionally debits the card if the flag is in the ratified state; causes the card to put the flag into the non-ratified state if a debit took place during the preceding step; then causes the goods or service to be delivered; and if delivery takes place effectively in the preceding step, causes the card to put the flag into the ratified state.

The method may include the following steps in particular: a) the machine reads the state of the ratification flag and jumps to step e) if it is in the non-ratified state; b) the machine causes the card to be debited by an amount corresponding to the goods or service to be delivered; c) the card records the debit by updating its value information, and it puts the flag into the non-ratified state; d) the card confirms to the machine that the debit has been recorded; e) the machine delivers the goods or service; f) the machine causes the flag to be set to the ratified state; and g) the card changes the state of the flag to put it into the ratified state.

According to various advantageous subsidiary characteristics:

· conditional debiting of the card is also subordinate to a time delay elapsing since the preceding operation of putting the flag into the non-ratified state and/or to the machine performing the current transaction belonging to a group to which the machine that performed the preceding transaction also belongs;

when the flag is in the non-ratified state,
 delivery without debit is inhibited if the machine detects that delivery took place during the preceding use of the card;

15

20

25

3.0

- · card debiting and putting the flag into the non-ratified state are performed in indivisible manner;
- · at least a portion of the information modifying the state of the card, in particular commands enabling the flag to be put into the ratified state, and/or at least a portion of the information relating to the state of the card, in particular the state of the flag and confirmation that the debit has taken into account, is previously processed by cryptographic means implemented both in the card and in the machine;
- the goods or service is delivered in deferred manner after a given time delay; in which case, provision can advantageously be made for delivery to take place prior to the time delay expiring in the event that it is confirmed that the card has properly executed the step of putting the flag into the ratified state; and/or, also by inserting a pause of random duration in the transaction;
- the information interchanged between the machine and the card is enciphered in such a manner as to avoid revealing the moment at which the machine instructs the card to put the flag into the ratified state, or the moment at which the card performs that instruction;
- counting is provided in the machine to determine the number of occasions on which it reads a flag in the non-ratified state;
- counting is provided in the card to determine the number of occasions on which it stores the flag in the non-ratified state between two transactions, it being possible in particular to provide means for indicating that a given count threshold in the card has been exceeded, in particular means for inhibiting consecutive delivery of goods or service; and
- the card memory includes information about the kind of goods or service to be delivered, which information is updated before any delivery of said goods or service.

Other characteristics and advantages appear from the following description of an example implementation of the invention.

5 Example

10

15

20

2.5

30

35

The description beings by explaining how a prior art system with a resumption transaction is constituted (this system is close in particular to the draft standard EN 1546 for the electronic purse, but it is transposable to a large number of other applications).

In its memory, each card possesses:

- a) a card identity number which is invariable and characteristic of the card;
- b) the number of the last automatic machine to have caused a debit operation to be performed in the card;
- c) the number of that transaction for that machine; and
- d) the value of the card, i.e. the monetary amount or its equivalent in tokens, which is the data item on which debiting is performed.

Each machine possesses in its own memory:

- A) the number of the last card in which it preformed a debit operation;
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{B}}\xspace)$ a machine identity number that is invariable and characteristic; and
- C) a transaction number which is incremented by the machine on each transaction.
- A transaction essentially comprises the following steps:
- 05) the machine reads data items \underline{a} , \underline{b} , and \underline{c} from the card and determines whether a = A, b = B, and c = C; in the affirmative, it goes directly to step 40;
- 10) the machine causes the amount D corresponding to the goods that are to be delivered to be debited from the card, and also causes the following to be written in the card: $a=A,\ b=B,$ and c=C;

20) the card records the debit, i.e. it replaces \underline{d} by (d-D) and \underline{a} , \underline{b} , and \underline{c} by the values communicated to it, i.e. A, B, and C;

- 30) the card confirms to the machine that the debit has been recorded: and
 - 40) the machine delivers the goods and replaces C by $\ensuremath{\text{C+1}}\xspace.$

Naturally, the transaction includes steps associated with establishing, running, and terminating communication between the machine and the card, steps for booking the sums collected by the machine, and steps for generating and verifying the cryptographic certificates required for ensuring that the values interchanged are authentic. These steps which are themselves known are not involved in implementing the invention (unless otherwise stated) and are not described in greater detail.

Steps are also provided for handling the case where the value in the card is insufficient, with processing adapted to stop operation: for example, the value of the card is read initially by the machine, is compared with the amount of the transaction, and step 10 is inhibited if there is not sufficient credit; in a variant or in addition, it is also possible to provide for the card to perform the same check and to inhibit steps 20 and 30.

The various operations performed in step 20 are advantageously performed in indivisible manner, i.e. provision is made in the card for means such that if step 20 is interrupted, then any subsequent read will find data items \underline{a} , \underline{b} , \underline{c} , and \underline{d} either all unchanged, or else all changed under the control of the machine, but under no circumstances will only some of them have been modified.

As mentioned above, in the event of communication between the machine and the card being interrupted during step 40, if the user tries again on a machine that is not the same machine as the machine on which the initial transaction was interrupted, then the second machine will

25

30

35

20

5

10

10

15

20

25

30

35

debit the card even if the card has already been debited by the first machine. The purchaser will thus be debited twice for a single item, and will therefore be out-ofpocket because of the resumed transaction.

To mitigate that difficulty, and to provide an acceptable compromise between ensuring that the purchaser is not out-of-pocket and protecting against fraud, the invention essentially provides for a bit \Re in the memory of the card, which bit is referred to as a "ratification bit" and can take the following two states (it being understood that the functions performed by the values 0 and 1 could be interchanged):

State 0 ("ratified"): this is the normal case, the user's previous transaction took place properly, so the machine will subsequently deliver the goods and debit the card;

State 1 ("not ratified"): the preceding transaction did not terminate correctly (the goods were not delivered), so the goods should be delivered subsequently without debiting the card.

If it is appropriate to debit the card, the flag is put into state 1 by the machine prior to delivering the goods, and if the goods are subsequently delivered, then the machine causes \Re to be put into state 0. More precisely, the transaction comprises the following steps:

- 05) the machine reads the state of \Re in the card; if it is in state 1, then the machine goes directly to step 40:
- 10) the machine causes the amount D corresponding to the goods to be delivered to be debited from the card;
- 20) the card records the debit, i.e. it replaces the balance \underline{d} by (d-D) and it puts \Re into state 1;
- 30) the card confirms to the machine that the debit has been recorded;
- 40) the machine, on receiving the confirmation in step 30, or via the test in step 05, delivers the goods;
 - 45) the machine causes \Re to be put into state 0; and

DSBURGO, "DAGGOT

1.0

1.5

20

25

30

35

50) the card modifies the state of $\Re,$ which goes to state 0.

As can be seen, the debit operation is performed in steps 10, 20, and 30.

In the particular case where units are debited, D = 1, and that can then be implicit; another special case is that of single use, corresponding to d = 1 and then d = 0, \underline{d} being suitable for being reduced by one bit only.

It will be observed that the purchaser is never outof-pocket in the event of making an attempt to perform
the same transaction on another machine when the goods
have not been delivered by the first (a fortiori if the
attempt is made on the same machine), and this takes
place in characteristic manner without any need to set up
a network between the machines.

Various improvements of the above-described method are described below.

Some of the improvements relate specifically to reducing the probability of a situation in which steps 05 to 45 take place normally, but the transaction is interrupted immediately after step 45, which prevents step 50 from being performed.

Under such circumstances, the purchaser to whom the machine has delivered the goods (step 40) is in a position to receive the goods again in a new transaction without being debited a second time. It is therefore in the interest of the user to prevent step 50 being performed, e.g. by deliberating passing the card quickly past the machine so as to be able to interrupt the transaction immediately after step 45 has been executed.

A first improvement consists in step 05 in going to step 40 (second alternative branch after testing the state of \Re) only if conditions are satisfied other than merely \Re equals 1, and in particular conditions based on the time that has elapsed since \Re was set to 1 and/or the identity of the machine that had previously set the flag.

TOSCHO, ZONGCHE

5

10

15

20

25

30

For this purpose, information is associated in the card with the flag, this information being characteristic of the time and/or the nature of the machine which performed the previous debit (and/or caused the flag to be set) in a preceding transaction. This information is advantageously written during step 20 (in the same manner as in step 20 of a prior art transaction, as described above).

The information stored in the card is compared with corresponding information characteristic of the current time and/or of the nature of the machine which is getting ready to deliver the goods.

By way of example, in an application to transport, the effect of this improvement is to enable a transaction to be resumed with the purchaser receiving undue advantage only if the preceding operation began to take place on the same set of payment locations and a length of time ago that is short enough to exclude re-use for a new trip. With this precaution, a traveller cannot make two trips for the price of one, and the possible opening of a turnstile for a second traveller (representing the non-ratified ticket of the first traveller) does not harm the transport supplier any more than does forced passage through a turnstile, insofar as in any event one of the travellers will be found to have not paid if ever there is an inspection.

A second improvement consists in step 05 in inhibiting passage to step 40 (second alternative branch after testing the state of \Re) if the machine has previously performed a transaction on the same card that was completed all the way to proper execution of delivery. This improvement has the effect of requiring the purchaser in any event to change machine before having any hope of obtaining double delivery.

The machine performs this detection, for example by consulting a history of the transactions that it has carried out, including for each transaction a card

DOSCANTY DATECT

10

15

20

25

30

35

identifier and an indication as to whether or not delivery took place; the history can be shared between a set of machines if they are interconnected by a communications network (note: in an application to paying for transport, a failure in this network gives rise only to a slight increase in the probability of a ticket being usable via a second turnstile).

Inhibition of free payment can give rise either to the transaction being stopped (as happens in transport in the event of a second use within the time limit specified in the above first improvement), or else by a second delivery but in return for further payment (for example when an article of goods is delivered).

A third improvement consists, in step 20, in making the debit and in modifying the flag \Re (and where appropriate writing the information associated with the above-described first improvement) in such a manner that these operations are indivisible.

In other words, means are provided in the card which ensure that if step 20 is interrupted, then any subsequent read will obtain \Re and \underline{d} information (and where appropriate any information associated with the first improvement) that is either completely unchanged, or else completely changed, in compliance with the commands of the machine.

This avoids certain possible kinds of error in favor of the purchaser or of the machine depending on which operations take place without the other.

By way of example (suitable for minimizing the number of writes in memory), the card can have two memory zones Z_0 and Z_1 each containing \mathfrak{R}_i , the balance d_i , a number n_i that can take the value 0 or 1, and a check sum s_i relating to d_i and n_i (s_i is normally the number of 0 bits in d_i and n_i).

Prior to a read (in particular in step 05), the card determines which of the zones \mathbf{Z}_0 or \mathbf{Z}_1 is valid, and for this purpose it checks the validity of \mathbf{s}_i relative to \mathbf{d}_i

and n_i in each of the two zones. If s_i is invalid, then the card ignores or deletes the entire zone; if at the end of this operation only one of the two zones is not ignored or deleted, then this zone is considered as being valid; if both zones are not ignored or deleted, then the valid zone is given by the following table:

n_0	n_1	valid zone
0	0	$\mathbf{z_i}$
1	0	$\mathbf{z}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}$
1	1	$\mathbf{Z_1}$
0	1	Z_{o}

The values returned by the card for \Re (in step 10) and \underline{d} (taken into account for calculating the new balance in step 20) are \Re_i and d_i in the valid zone.

Writing (steps 20 and 50) will take place in the other zone (after it has previously been deleted), using a value of \mathbf{n}_i such that the zone in which writing takes place becomes the valid zone, i.e. in accordance with the following table:

if zone	and	write in	and
valid			
Z_1	$n_1 = 0$	z_{o}	$n_0 = 1$
Z_0	$n_0 = 1$	Z_1	$n_1 = 1$
Z_1	$n_1 = 1$	z_{o}	$n_0 = 0$
z_{o}	$n_0 = 0$	$\mathbf{Z_1}$	$n_1 = 0$

Writing takes place in this zone during step 20 with \Re_i = 1, before or simultaneously with writing of n_i , d_i , and s_i , at the end of this writing, the zone which is valid has changed.

Step 50 writes \Re_i = 0 in the valid zone.

Indivisible writing of other information can be treated by extending zones $\mathbf{Z_i}$ and data taken into account by the check sums $\mathbf{s_i}$.

A fourth improvement consists in subjecting the information that modifies the state of the card, in particular the commands that enable the ratification flag to be set to state 1, to prior verification by means of a

10

15

20

DOSDESDY.OHDEDI

10

15

20

25

30

35

device integrated in the card, concerning the validity of a cryptographic certificate integrated in the message and produced in the machine by corresponding means.

A fifth improvement consists, symmetrically to the preceding improvement, in subjecting information relating to the state of the card, in particular the state of the ratification flag and/or confirmation that the debit has been taken into account to prior verification by a device integrated in the machine, concerning the validity of a message integrity cryptographic certificate as produced in the card by corresponding means.

By way of example, the cryptographic certificate of the fourth and fifth improvements described above can be an electronic message signature as obtained and verified using the method of international standard ISO 9726-2, or more simply using a symmetrical DES type algorithm.

Various other improvements seek to make it more difficult for a user deliberately to interrupt a transaction.

A sixth improvement thus consists in modifying step 40 by replacing pure and simple delivery of the goods by taking a decision as to whether or not to deliver the goods after a time delay has elapsed. To improve the speed of the system, the goods can be delivered before the time delay has expired if the machine receives confirmation that step 50 has been performed properly, rather than waiting for the time delay to expire. These precautions serve to prevent possible attempts by the purchaser at preventing step 50 by interrupting communication immediately after delivery. The sequence is modified as follows (given that steps 40 and 45 can be interchanged):

- 40) the machine starts a time delay;
- 45) step unchanged;
- 50) step unchanged;

1.0

15

20

25

30

35

55) the card acknowledges execution of step 50 by issuing a special message (optional step suitable for shortening the average duration of a transaction); and

60) the machine delivers the goods when the time delay expires, or where appropriate, on receiving the message of step 55, at the end of the first of the two terms to elapse. The user is thus deprived of a time marker that might make it possible to interrupt the transaction to the user's advantage.

A seventh improvement consists in providing protection against eavesdropping communications between the machine and the card by means such as cryptographic enciphering, so that such eavesdropping cannot reveal the instant at which the command is given to reset the ratification bit \Re to 0 or the instant at which it is indeed reset. This makes it more difficult to determine the instant at which it would be advantageous to interrupt communication.

An eighth improvement consists, in addition to the sixth and/or seventh improvements described above, in inserting a randomly-varying pause in the transaction, still for the purpose of making it difficult to determine the instant at which it would be advantageous to interrupt communication. This randomly-varying pause is preferably inserted in a step situated prior to the card being debited.

A ninth improvement consists in detecting a situation that is very likely to be abnormal, as revealed by too large a number of free transactions. To this end, an appropriate counter device counts cases in which a decision is made in step 05 to perform delivery without payment. It is also possible to sum the amounts that have thus possibly been lost by the machines. If the counter is integrated in the machine, it can be used for statistical purposes; if it is integrated in the card, it is advantageous to make delivery of the goods or service subordinate to confirmation that the counter has indeed

15

20

been updated in the event of the purchaser not being debited, so that the purchaser at least cannot escape from the counter being updated.

It is possible to provide a device that inhibits delivery of the goods when the counter exceeds a threshold, or indeed that issues an alarm or some analogous signal. It is also possible to provide for the counter to be reset to zero or to be reduced in part only, e.g. on each transaction that is indeed debited, or to do so with a special device.

A tenth improvement consists in recording the kind of goods to be delivered, e.g. during step 20, and in reading and using said information specifically when, at the end of step 05, a decision is taken to make a delivery without debiting it. This makes it possible to process resumption of the transaction using machines that are capable of delivering different types of goods or goods for different amounts, e.g. in a transport system having different destinations as a function of a selection made by the user.

15

20

25

CLATMS

- 1/ A method of interchanging data between the nonvolatile memory of a portable object, in particular a microcircuit card, and an automatic machine with which the card is temporarily coupled to enable goods or service to be delivered, the card having value information that can be debited by the machine in consideration for delivering the goods or service, the method being characterized in that it comprises steps in which the machine causes a ratification flag to be modified, which flag is stored in the non-volatile memory of the card, said flag having two states, a ratified state corresponding to the case in which the preceding transaction performed with the card, whether by the same machine or another machine, took place correctly, and a non-ratified state for the case in which said preceding transaction was interrupted while it was being executed, and in which the machine successively:
- \cdot conditionally debits the card if the flag is in the ratified state;
- causes the card to put the flag into the nonratified state if a debit took place during the preceding step;
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ then causes the goods or service to be delivered; and
- · if delivery takes place effectively in the preceding step, causes the card to put the flag into the ratified state.
- 30 2/ The method of claim 1, comprising the following steps: a) the machine reads the state of the ratification flag and jumps to step e) if it is in the non-ratified state:
- b) the machine causes the card to be debited by an 35 amount corresponding to the goods or service to be delivered;

20

25

30

- c) the card records the debit by updating its value information, and it puts the flag into the non-ratified state:
- d) the card confirms to the machine that the debit 5 has been recorded;
 - e) the machine delivers the goods or service;
 - f) the machine causes the flag to be set to the ratified state; and
- g) the card changes the state of the flag to put it 10 into the ratified state.
 - 3/ The method of either preceding claim, in which conditional debiting of the card is also subordinate to a time delay elapsing since the preceding operation of putting the flag into the non-ratified state.
 - 4/ The method of any preceding claim, in which conditional debiting of the card is also subordinate to the machine performing the current transaction belonging to a group to which the machine that performed the preceding transaction also belongs.
 - 5/ The method of any preceding claim, in which, when the flag is in the non-ratified state, delivery without debit is inhibited if the machine detects that delivery took place during the preceding use of the card.
 - 6/ The method of any preceding claim, in which card debiting and putting the flag into the non-ratified state are performed in indivisible manner.
 - 7/ The method of any preceding claim, in which at least a portion of the information modifying the state of the card, in particular commands enabling the flag to be put into the ratified state, is previously processed by cryptographic means implemented both in the card and in the machine.

20

25

3.0

8/ The method according to any preceding claim, in which at least a portion of the information relating to the state of the card, in particular the state of the flag and confirmation that the debit has taken into account, is previously processed by cryptographic means implemented both in the card and in the machine.

9/ The method of any preceding claim, in which the goods 10 or service is delivered in deferred manner after a given time delay.

10/ The method of claim 9, in which delivery takes place prior to the expiry of the time delay in the event of receiving confirmation that the card has been successful in putting the flag into the ratified state.

11/ The method of claim 9, in which a pause of random duration is included in the transaction.

12/ The method of any preceding claim, in which the information interchanged between the machine and the card is enciphered in such a manner as to avoid revealing the moment at which the machine instructs the card to put the flag into the ratified state, or the moment at which the card performs that instruction.

13/ The method of any preceding claim, including, in the machine, counting the number of occasions on which it reads a flag in the non-ratified state.

14/ The method of any preceding claim, including the card counting the number of occasions on which it stores the flag in the non-ratified state between two transactions.

15/ The method of claim 13 or 14, in which means are provided to indicate that a given threshold has been

exceeded by the count in the card, in particular means for inhibiting subsequent delivery of goods or service.

16/ The method of any preceding claim, in which the card memory includes information about the kind of goods or service to be delivered, which information is updated before any delivery of said goods or service.

15

20

ABSTRACT

The card contains value information suitable for being debited by the machine in consideration for delivering goods or service. The machine causes a ratification flag stored in the non-volatile memory of the card to be modified between two states, namely: a ratified state corresponding to the case where the preceding transaction performed with the card, whether with the same machine or with another machine, took place correctly, and a non-ratified state for the case when said preceding transaction was interrupted in the course of being executed. The machine successively: conditionally debits the card if the flag is in the ratified state; causes the card to put the flag in the non-ratified state if a debit took place in the preceding step; then causes the goods or service to be delivered; and if delivery takes place effectively in the preceding step, causes the flag to be put by the card into the ratified state.

VU.

677-22 795 151247WO/US Cas 19-DDL/MA

Nixon & Vanderhye P.C. (10/99) (Domestic Non-Assigned/Foreign) Page 1

RULE 63 (37 C.F.R. 1.63) INVENTORS DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, mailing address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am

	inication of which (check applica	ble box(s)):	TWEEN AN AUTOMATIC DISPENSE			
	attached hereto					
	as filed on		as U.S. Application Serial No.			(Atty Dkt. No. 677-22)
g v	es filed as PCT International ap	dication No.	PCT/FR99/02470	on	13 Octobor 1999	
nd (if a	pplicable to U.S. or PCT applica	tion) was amended	i on			
mendi efined sted b high p	nent referred to above. I acknow in 37 C.F.A. 1.56. I hereby dain	eledge the duty to c n foreign priority be now any foreign app	tents of the above identified specifies siscuse to the Palent Office all inform months under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of an lication for patent or Inventor's certific the filing date of this application: Country FR	ation kno y toreign	wn to me to be mate application(s) for pat ig a filing date before	rial to patentability as ont or inventor's certific
	Application Number		Inited States provisional application(s Date/Month/Year Filed			
- 275		C. 120/365 of all pr	for United States and PCT Internation	al applica	ations listed above o	r below:
nor U	S/PCT Application(s):					Status: patented
) Dije:	tion Serial No.		Day/Month/Year Filed			oending, abandoned
17	PCT/FR99/02470		13 October 1998			
met me	r declare that all statements mad and further that these statement ment, or both, under Section 10	s were made with	n knowledge are true and that all state the knowledge that willful false staten to United States Code and that such w	ents and illful false	the like so made and statements may led	punishable by fine or pardize the velidity of t
Ploto prica Ploto ome Plot	declare that all statements med and further that these statement ment, or both, under Section 10 ino or any patient bassed thereon r, Ailington, VA 22201-4714, to thereof to the seame address) about and frastemark Collec	s were made with Or of Title 18 of the And on behalf of tephone number individually and co sected therewith er Richard G. Besha Mitchard, 2909; L. tt Davidson, 3348; Shea, 34725, Do dd Y. Mah, 41428; and to act and rely a Nixon & Vanderhald.	h knowledge are true and that all state the knowledge that wilful false staters to United States Code and that such with owner(s) hereof, I hereby sppolif (703) 815-4000 (to whom all committed the special control of the special c	ients and illful feise NIXON & inication prosecu- tixon, 25 (ichael J. on, 3048 lotan, 29 ester, 32 inize Nixo	the like so made an as statements may jec a VaNDERHYE.P.C. s are to be directed to this application and 540, Arthur R. Craw Keenan, 32108. Bry 1; John R. Lastova., 334; B. J. Sadori, 38; 33; Frank P. Presta n & Vanderhye to de om the person, assignment person, assignment of the same person, assignment of the same person, assignment of the person of t	a punshablo by fine or pardvas the validity of t , 1100.North Globe B ,), and the following of to transact all busine ond , 25327; James T , and H. Davidson , 30251 3149; H. Warrien Surn B1, 18233; Joseph S. Prelidte any attorney , time, or , and
Plotomer Flori Flor Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flor	r declare that ell statements med and further that these statement med for the properties of the prope	s were made with or of 199 18 of the And on behalf of tephone number individually and conscited therewith error of the Andrewith error of	h knowledge are true and that all state the knowledge that wilful false staters to United States Code and that such with owner(s) hereof, I hereby sppolif (703) 815-4000 (to whom all committed the special control of the special c	sents and illful false NIXON I infeation prosecutivon, 25 (Ichael J. 200 aster, 32 interest of the control of t	the like so made an as statements may lect a VaNDERHYE P. C. s are to be directed to this application and S40; Arthur R. Craw Keenan, 32105; Bry J. John R. Lastova., 334; B. J. Sadorf, 38; 33; Frank P. Presta a & Vanderhye to de om the person, assignment of the person as a person as	a punishablo by fine or pupardize the validity of t., 1100-North Steba B.), and the following d to transact all busine or 25327; James T., an H. Davidson, 2025; 3149; H. Warrien Birn. 1, 19828; Joseph S. Prelista any attomey
rebi rue; disc bica Flot ome his P sme riey 2930 75:	declare that all statements med and further that these statement ment, or both, under Section 10 ino or any patient bassed thereon r, Ailington, VA 22201-4714, to thereof to the seame address) about and frastemark Collec	s were made with Or of Title 18 of the And on behalf of tephone number individually and co sected therewith er Richard G. Besha Mitchard, 2909; L. tt Davidson, 3348; Shea, 34725, Do dd Y. Mah, 41428; and to act and rely a Nixon & Vanderhald.	knowledge are true and that all state the knowledge that wilful table statem to United States Gode and that such with owner(s) hereof, I hereby appoint (703) 818-8000 (to whom all common of the statement of the	ents and illul false NIXON ! INXON ! I	the like so made an as statements may lect a VaNDERHYE P. C. s are to be directed to this application and S40; Arthur R. Craw Keenan, 32105; Bry J. John R. Lastova., 334; B. J. Sadorf, 38; 33; Frank P. Presta a & Vanderhye to de om the person, assignment of the person as a person as	a punshablo by fine or pundros the validity of I, I,190. North. Gleba B, J, and the following of to transact all busine or B, 252,7; James T. and H. Davidson, 302,51 32149; H. Warrien Burn 1333, James D. Berquist kilds any attornoy, tirm, or carch. 14, 280
rebi rue; disc bica Flot ome his P sme riey 2930 75:	declare that all statements med and further that these statement meet, or both, under Section 10 inor carp patient issued thereon r, Allington, VA 22201-4715, to shreed of the same address) about and Trademark Office coru	s were made with Ort of Tille 18 of th And on behalf of Sephone number Hichard Sephone number Hichard S. Beah Mitchard, Sephone Mitchard, Sephone Mitchard, Sephone Shea, 34725, Do dd Y. Meh, 31428, and to act and raly Show, Sephone William François (first) S	h knowledge are true and that all state the knowledge that willful false staters to United States Code and that such with owner(s) hereof, thereby appoint (703) 815-4000 (to whom all commers to the such as the such with the resulting pretent. Larry S. 10 with the resulting pretent. Larry S. 10 with the resulting pretent. Larry S. 10 with the Ryste, 33563; Jeffy H. Neile Carton, 8108; Robert A. Marchadon, 8108; Robert A. Ma	ents and illul false NIXON ! INXON ! I	the like so made an as statements may lect a VaNDERHYE P. C. s are to be directed to this application and S40; Arthur R. Craw Keenan, 32105; Bry J. John R. Lastova., 334; B. J. Sadorf, 38; 33; Frank P. Presta a & Vanderhye to de om the person, assignment of the person as a person as	a punshablo by fine or paradize the validity of 1, 1100 North Sciene B, and the following of to transact all bushine on 2, 2332; James T. and H. Davidson, 2023; 1349; H. Warren Stirra 13149; H. Warren 1314
rebi rue; disc bica Flot ome his P sme riey 2930 75:	rduciare that ell statements med and further that these statement ment, or both, under Section 10 inor or any patric besued thereon, A. 2001–471, se son or any patric besued thereon to the statement of the stat	s were made with or of Title 18 of the And on behalf of stephone number of the the And on behalf of stephone number of the	h knowledge are true and that all state the knowledge that willful false staters to United States Code and that such with owner(s) hereof, thereby appoint (703) 815-4000 (to whom all commers to the such as the such with the resulting pretent. Larry S. 10 with the resulting pretent. Larry S. 10 with the resulting pretent. Larry S. 10 with the Ryste, 33563; Jeffy H. Neile Carton, 8108; Robert A. Marchadon, 8108; Robert A. Ma	ents and illul false NIXON ! INXON ! I	the like so made an authendrian may loc a vanDERHYE P.C same to be directed to this explication at the control of the control	a punshablo by fine or paradize the validity of 1, 1100 North Sciene B, and the following of to transact all bushine on 2, 2332; James T. and H. Davidson, 2023; 1349; H. Warren Stirra 13149; H. Warren 1314
Plotomer Flori Flor Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flor	declare that all statements med and further that these statement meet, or both, under Section 10 inor carp patient issued thereon r, Allington, VA 22201-4715, to shreed of the same address) about and Trademark Office coru	s were made with or of Title 18 of the And on behalf of stephone number of the the And on behalf of stephone number of the	h knowledge are true and that all state the knowledge that willful false staters to United States Code and that such with owner(s) hereof, thereby appoint (703) 815-4000 (to whom all commers to the such as the such with the resulting pretent. Larry S. 10 with the resulting pretent. Larry S. 10 with the resulting pretent. Larry S. 10 with the Ryste, 33563; Jeffy H. Neile Carton, 8108; Robert A. Marchadon, 8108; Robert A. Ma	ents and illul false NIXON ! INXON ! I	the like so made an authendrian may loc a vanDERHYE P.C same to be directed to this explication at the control of the control	a punshablo by fine or paradize the validity of 1, 1100 North Sciene B, and the following of to transact all business on 1, 2532; 13mes T. and H. Davidson, 2023; 13149; H. Warren Stirre 1514; 13mes D. Berquist 1, 18223; Usaph S. Prekitle any attorney pince, attorney, tim, or proceedings of the paradia of
Ploto prica Ploto ome Plot	rdeclare that ell statements med and further that these statement ment, or both, under Section 10 inor on any patient besued thereon or, Allington, VA 22034-471, te to thread to the seams actions 30 inor any patient besued thereon to the seams actions 30 inor any patient between the seams actions 30 inor any patient between the seams actions 30 inor any patient between the seams action and patient between the seams action act	s were made with or of Title 18 of the And on behalf of stephone number of the the And on behalf of stephone number of the	h knowledge are true and that all state the knowledge that wilful talse staters b United States Gode and that such the overreich hereof, I hereby support (703) 815-4000 (to whom all commitments) and overreich submitment (103) 615-4000 (to whom all commitments) owners' altomays to with the resulting patient. Larry S. 10 will be resulted to the patient. Larry S. 10 will be resulted to the patient of the state of the sta	sents and illful falses NIXON ! I NI	the like so made an authendrian may loc a vanDERHYE P.C same to be directed to this explication at the control of the control	a punshablo by fine or paradize the validity of 1, 1100 North Sciene B, and the following of to transact all business on 1, 2532; 13mes T. and H. Davidson, 2023; 13149; H. Warren Stirre 1514; 13mes D. Berquist 1, 18223; Usaph S. Prekitle any attorney pince, attorney, tim, or proceedings of the paradia of
Plotomer Flori Flor Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flori Flor	rduciare that ell statements med and further that these statement ment, or both, under Section 10 inor or any patric besued thereon, A. 2001–471, se son or any patric besued thereon to the statement of the stat	s were made with or of this light of the And on behalf of Eephone number of Eephone number of the And on behalf of Eephone number of the Andread G. Behalf of the Andread G	h knowledge are true and that all state the knowledge that wilful talse statem is United States Gode and that such the covereign before the covereign before the covereign before the covereign before the covereign statement in the coverei	ents and illhi faise NIXON. Infeation prosecution, 25 (Ichael J. on 3048 Ichael J. o	the like so made an extraction may loc visitements may loc VANDERHYE.P.C. as are to be directed to the explication and explication and explication and the explication and the explication and the explication of the explicat	is pumehable by fine or wideling of the year of the ye
priso pica Flore file Plant Flore file Plant Flore file Plant Flore file Flor	declare that ell statements med and further that these statement ment, or both, under Section 10 inor can patient issued thereon r, Allington, VA 22014-471, te stended for the same address; the threat of the same address; bitted and Trademark Office cons. 2018; Robert V. Fars, 31362. C. Spooner, 27393; Leonard C. S. Mary J. Wisson, 32555, H. Seymond C. S. Gill, 3734; Michael Joseph A. Rhoa, 3735; Raymor umburs no longe fine flow firm in parallel mention of the firm in parallel mention of the firm in parallel mention of the firm in parallel mention. Per section of the firm in parallel mention of the	s were made with 10 of 11 its 18 of th. And on behalf of sephone number of sephone n	h knowledge are true and that all state the knowledge that willful tailse station to United States Code and that such with owner(s) hereof, thereby appoint (703) 815-4000 (to whom all commitments) entered the state of with the resulting patient. Larry S. 10 Juliano M. Byore, 33595; Verify H. Neile C. Zezzo, Mark E. Nusbaum, 93246; No Juliano M. Byore, 33595; Verify H. Neile C. A. Mark M. Aggrego, 93179; Robert A. Marid L. Jackson, 41080; Michella N. Ledson, 41080; Michella N. Ledson, 10087; Michella N.	ents and illih faise NIXON. In faise NIXON (Inc.) anication prosecution, 25 (Ilchael J. on. 3048 tolan, 29 oster, 32	the like so made an extraction may loc visitements may loc VANDERHYE.P.C. as are to be directed to the explication and explication and explication and visitements. 22 (06) PM. Lastvax., 23 (06) PM. Lastvax., 24 (06) PM. Lastvax., 25 (06) PM.	is purshable by fine or vicinity of the year of the ye
prical pr	r duclare that ell statements med and further that these statement ment, or both, under Section 10 inor on any patient issued thereon or, artington, VA 22014-471, te thereof collection of the corner of the control of	s were made with or of this last of the And on behalf of Eephone number of Eephone number of the And on behalf of Eephone number of the Andream of the Andre	h knowledge are true and that all state the knowledge that wilful talse statem is United States Gode and that such the covereign before the covereign before the covereign before the covereign before the covereign statement in the coverei	ents and illih faise NIXON. In faise NIXON (Inc.) anication prosecution, 25 (Ilchael J. on. 3048 tolan, 29 oster, 32	the like so made an extraction may loc visitements may loc VANDERHYE.P.C. as are to be directed to the explication and explication and explication and the explication and the explication and the explication of the explicat	is pumehable by fine or wideling of the year of the ye